

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,811	01/26/2001	Gordon James Smith	ROC920000266US1	8259
7590 03/20/2006		EXAMINER		
IBM Corporation			DIXON, THOMAS A	
Intellectual Pro	perty Law, Dept. 917			
3605 Highway 52 North			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, MN 55901-7829			3639	

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	A				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/770,811	SMITH, GORDON JAMES				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas A. Dixon	3639				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely proceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 5 133)				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 S	September 2005					
	_	s action is non-final.					
3)□	<u>, </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 22-37</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 12-21 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc						
11) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment	(s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ne atent Application (PTO-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/770,811

Art Unit: 3639

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Recent 101 training and a careful reading of the specification necessitated the 35
 U.S.C. 101 rejection that follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, tangible and concrete result. An invention which is eligible for patenting under 35 U.S.C 101, is in the "useful arts" when it is a machine, manufacture, process or composition of matter, which produces a useful, concrete and tangible result. The fundamental test for patent eligibility is thus to determine whether the claimed invention produces a useful tangible and concrete result. See AT&T v. Excel Communications Inc., 172 F.3d at 1358, 50 USPQ 2d at 1452 and State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group, Inc., 149 F.3d at 1373, 47 USPQ 2d at 1601 (Fed. Cir. 1998). The test for practical application as applied by the examiner involves the determination of the following factors.

a) "useful" – The Supreme Court in Diamond v. Diehr requires that the examiner look at the claimed invention as a whole and compare any asserted utility with

Application/Control Number: 09/770,811

Art Unit: 3639

the claimed invention to determine whether the asserted utility is accomplished.

Applying utility case law the examiner will not that:

i. utility need not be expressly recited in the claims, rather it may be inferred.

Page 3

- ii. if the utility is not asserted in the written description, then it must be well established.
- b) "tangible" Applying In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 31 UAPQ 2d 1754 Fed. Cir. 1994), the examiner will determine whether there is simply a mathematical construct claimed, such as a disembodied data structure and method of making it. If so, the claim involves no more than manipulation of an abstract idea and is, therefore, nonstatutory under 35 U.S.C 101. In Warmerdam, the abstract idea of a data structure became capable of producing a useful result when it was fixed in a tangible medium, whi enabled its functionality to be realized.
- c) "concrete" Another consideration is whether the invention produces a "concrete" result. Usually, this question arises when a result cannot be assured. An appropriate rejection under 35 U.S.C 101 should be accompanied by a lack of enablement rejection, because the invention cannot operate as intended without undue experimentation.

In the present case, the claim is to "a signal bearing medium" and as defined by the specification, the "medium" can take one of three forms, as indicated on page 4, line 32 – page 5, line 8, the third form is "(iii) information conveyed to a computer by a communication medium..." which is seen to be a disembodied signal, and therefore, not concrete.

Application/Control Number: 09/770,811 Page 4

Art Unit: 3639

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-3, 5-11, 22-37 are allowable.

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

As per Claim 1.

The prior art, specifically Walker et al (5,897,620) in view of Fare Play or Bookit! PRO does not disclose or fairly teach:

an event server configured to execute instructions for:

dynamically adjusting the number of the restricted tickets available for purchase in response to purchase orders received for both restricted tickets and unrestricted tickets, wherein the number of restricted tickets available for purchase is adjusted without adjusting a price of the restricted tickets.

As per Claim 22.

The prior art, specifically Walker et al (5,897,620) in view of Fare Play or Bookit! PRO does not disclose or fairly teach:

assessing, after processing at least one purchase order, whether a number of remaining tickets of the restricted class of tickets meets predetermined conditions; and changing the initial number of the restricted class of tickets to an adjusted number without changing a price of the restricted class of tickets when the predetermined condition is not met.

Art Unit: 3639

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Dixon whose telephone number is (571) 272-6803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas A. Dixon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3639

March 06